PUBLIC SUBMISSION

As of: November 25, 2019 **Received:** November 15, 2019

Status: Pending Post

Tracking No. 1k3-9dbu-r4s3

Comments Due: December 16, 2019

Submission Type: Web

Docket: PTO-C-2019-0038

Request for Comments on Intellectual Property Protection for Artificial Intelligence Innovation

Comment On: PTO-C-2019-0038-0001

Intellectual Property Protection for Artificial Intelligence Innovation

Document: PTO-C-2019-0038-DRAFT-0002

Comment on FR Doc # 2019-23638

Submitter Information

Name: Anonymous Anonymous

General Comment

I personally believe that if company who programs artificial intelligence to create certain things, then the company should have some sort of ownership of said product. I believe this is fair because a companys workers are programming multiple artificial intelligence to perform a certain task. Which if you take the artificial intelligence out the programmers are in a sense creating the product but just having something else do it. When it comes to payment, if multiple programmers work on the artificial intelligence each programmer should be paid for whatever task they programmed the artificial intelligence to perform. But if another company buys the artificial intelligence from the programmer then of course all the products the artificial intelligence manufactures goes to the company free of charge. That said if a company pays the programmer to have the artificial intelligence create a product, then once that product is made and sold to the company then the programmer shouldnt really have any ownership of the product since company paid them to create a product for that company. This is a fair reason because say a programmer is paid the the Govt to have an artificial intelligence create military grade weapons, if the programmer is and owner then they can say that a portion of the weapons belong to them. Which could obviously cause major problems.